
MSN Home

Hotmail | Shopping | Money | People & Groups





Find the property that's right for you.







Groups Home | My Groups | Language | Help

Quarriers Warriors QuarriersWarriors@groups.msn.com

What's New

General: 10th Ex-employee of Quarriers convicted Choose another message board

Join Now

View All Messages

Messages

Pictures

Calendar

Documents

Links

Manager

I remember

Joke page Members

Information

William Quarrier

Cottage Parents

The Recipe page

Favourite sites

Games

Chatroom

Clip of the month

Twilight Zone

♠ Prev Message Next Message
♠

Next Discussion 🕪 📿 New Discussion

Send Replies to My Inbox

Reply

44 Prev Discussion

Recommend

Message 22 of 22 in Discussion

From: sandy4cameron in response to Message 21

Sent: 20/04/2007 02:15

Hi David, like you, I probably assumed that everyone has read all the posts that have been put up by our sites, but obviously not, as you say I have not mentioned the "Association" Well I thought I explained that one before. You seem to be, along with Chris, accusing "The Former Boys and Girls Association" of Nepotism, harbouring criminals, procuring property and not dealing with the needs of thousands of ex-Quarriers kids. Well I am not sure where this image of some powerful cabal sitting in secret rooms somewhere deciding the fates of thousands of kids, and who should get which personal files and who should not, comes from but it is miles away from the "Association" that I joined. The Original FBGA as far as I know was set up to get an Annual D/D together and collect membership fees of about £7.50 a year from members (ones who paid that is) to be able to finance this function. I myself am one of the ones who skipped payment because I thought at the time that this Big organisation could afford it more than I could. Well the Association produces accounts for all to see if they like and can discuss this at the Annual General Meeting before the D/D. So with an annual turnover of about three thousand pounds Approx. it is obvious to me anyway that this small group of ex-Quarriers kids, is not the same powerful lobby of activists that you are fighting. I dont know of any case personally of any of this Committee gaining in any financial way, but you may know different. Harry Aitken attended our last meeting as he was wanting to fix the rift he thought existed between the different websites, and I am not sure what Harry thought after he left, or before he came in for that matter, but he was not rebuffed, if anything he may have been educated a little more on this subject. This Association used to have as a base of course Cottage 8, which was for the use of "Former boys and girls" if they were visiting Quarriers. Unfortunately due to lack of use and repairs needing done to the interior of the house, "Quarriers Organisation" (the ones who sold the church off, remember?) decided that the Association should no longer have the house unless they paid a monthly rent of £666 which of course was outwith the finances of this small band of people, so now when the "Association" wants to have a meeting, they have to rent out Bethesda house for an hour or two from "Quarriers Organisation" (remember the ones with a turnover of about thirty five million pounds a year) and the same goes for The Annual General Meeting. So David I think that your fight is with "Quarriers Organisation" and not with "The Former Boys and Girls Association" (whose name you hi-jacked remember) The only connection that I can see here is that Helen Porteous was the treasurer in the Association at the time. David please continue to inform as many people as you like through this website, and the information is very welcome as many wish to see it, but I felt the other day that yourself and Chris jumped down Maggies thoat for expressing her opinion, and when I re-read the post I did not see anything sinister or condescending about it. It may or may not have been a silly remark, but she has every right to express how she feels at

Switch Sites

Tools

any time informed or ill-informed, after all, one does not have to know all the facts of a case or subject to make a comment on it. Charlie then tried in vain to protect poor Maggie, who did not even know she had spoken out of line, and he was pounced on too, only my observation of course, by a couple of big bullies who had decided that their opinion was worth more, as they knew all the details. I then posted trying to calm you down, saying all this could be damaging to your Psyche and Chris made some funny remark about my pysche (must have mispelt it when she typed it into google) Sandy

Notice: Microsoft has no responsibility for the content featured in this group. Click here for more info.

MSN - Make it Your Home

MSN Home | Hotmail | Web Search | Shopping | Money | People & Groups

Help

©2004 Microsoft Corporation. All rights reserved. Terms of Use Advertise TRUSTe Approved Privacy Statement